DESIGN II, FINAL DESIGN REVIEW

The purpose of the Design II, final design review is to inform the audience of the testing of the final product with respect to problem execution, and technical specifications. Packaging, cost, and PCB reliability of the final project should be discussed as well.

Team: Smart Crosswalk Dynamic Lighting System (SCDLS) Reviewer:						
	The state of the s					
Emily Dance	Lee Sargent	Hagan Walker	Preston Stinson	George Hilliard		

All numerical grading is on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high). Please make *constructive comments* in the space provided.

Presentation Gradings (Individual)	Emily Dance (1 to 5 score)	Lee Sargent (1 to 5 score)	Hagan Walker (1 to 5 score)	Preston Stinson (1 to 5 score)	George Hilliard (1 to5 score)
Presentation Manner (Connection with the audience, eye contact, clearly heard, fluid, no distracting mannerisms, engaging/dynamic for audience)					
Graphics, Presentation Material (professional slides, balanced high-level and technical material, used time wisely)					

Presentation Comments (Individual)	Comment
Emily Dance	
Lee Sargent	
Hagan Walker	
Preston Stinson	
George Hilliard	

Reviewers: You are not expected to enter comments in all of the spaces that are provided; these spaces are provided for your convenience.

rff/v0.4 1 of 2

DESIGN II, FINAL DESIGN REVIEW

Team: Smart Crosswalk Dynamic Lighting System (SCDLS) Reviewer:

Technical Gradings (entire Team)	Numeric Score
3. Problem lucidly stated with clear visualization of the solution; technical specifications listed and explained within problem context	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
4. Evidence of effective teaming in testing and packaging of the final product	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
5. The presentation discusses project concerns in the following areas: Manufacturability and Health and Safety	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	I
6. Evidence of sufficient testing of final product with respect to product technical specifications and solution of the stated problem	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
7. Bill of materials and cost for components/packaging/construction for final product presented.	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
8. Has a packaged product been created that solves the stated problem and meets the technical specifications? If not, use the comment area to specify what is lacking	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	1

rff/v0.4 2 of 2