DESIGN II, MID-SEMESTER DESIGN REVIEW

The purpose of the Design II, mid-semester presentation is to inform the audience of the progress made on design refinements from Design I, progress in formulating a comprehensive test plan for the finished product, and progress on packaging of the final product.

Team: Smart Crosswalk Dynamic Lighting System			Reviewer:		
7	occ y				
Emily Dance	Lee Sargent	Hagan Walker	George Hilliard	Preston Stinson	

All numerical grading is on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high). Please make *constructive comments* in the space provided.

Presentation Gradings (Individual)	Emily Dance (1 to 5 score)	Lee Sargent (1 to5 score)	Hagan Walker (1 to 5 score)	George Hilliard (1 to 5 score)	Preston Stinson (1 to 5 score)
1. Presentation Manner (Connection with the audience, eye contact, clearly heard, fluid, no distracting mannerisms, engaging/dynamic for audience)					
Graphics, Presentation Material (professional slides, balanced high-level and technical material, used time wisely)					

Presentation Comments (Individual)	Comment
Emily Dance	
Lee Sargent	
Hagan Walker	
George Hilliard	
Preston Stinson	

Reviewers: You are not expected to enter comments in all of the spaces that are provided; these spaces are provided for your convenience.

rff/v0.4 1 of 2

DESIGN II, MID-SEMESTER DESIGN REVIEW

Team: Smart Crosswalk Dynamic Lighting System Reviewer: _____

Technical Gradings (entire Team)	Numeric Score				
3. Problem lucidly stated with a clear visualization of the solution and an emphasis on the changes/refinements from Design 1; technical specifications listed and explained within problem context	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Comment:					
4. Evidence of effective teaming in test plan formulation, design refinement, and packaging	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Comment:					
5. The presentation discusses project concerns in the following areas:	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Manufacturability, Health and Safety					
Comment:					
6. Test plan presented for testing of the final product with respect to product technical specifications and solution of the stated problem	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Comment:					
7. Sufficient progress made in executing the design	(1) (2) (2) (4) (5)				
7. Sufficient progress made in executing the design refinements/changes from Design 1 as supported by visual evidence and test data	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Comment:					
O Co-CC instrumental land	(1) (2) (2) (4) (5)				
8. Sufficient progress in packaging of final design as supported by printed circuit board design data, housings for subsystems, etc.	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Comment:					

rff/v0.4 2 of 2